

18-660: Numerical Methods for Engineering Design and Optimization

Xin Li Department of ECE Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213



Overview

- Stochastic Optimization
 - Simulated annealing

Local Optimization

- All optimization algorithms in early lectures assumes "local convexity" for cost function and constraint set
 - Gradient method
 - Newton method
 - Conjugate gradient method
 - Interior point method
- Global convergence cannot be guaranteed if the actual cost function or constraint set is non-convex

Filter Design Example

Design a band-stop filter to remove power supply noise



Filter Design Example

Design a band-stop filter to remove power supply noise



Filter Design Example

Design a band-stop filter to remove power supply noise



Stochastic Optimization

- Stochastic optimization is another useful technique for nonlinear programming
 - Randomized algorithm (not deterministic)
 - Better convergence than local optimization
 - More expensive in computational cost

Several important algorithms for stochastic optimization

- Simulated annealing (focus of this lecture)
- Genetic programming

Unconstrained optimization

 $\min_{X} f(X)$

- Simulated annealing:
 - Start from an initial point
 - Repeatedly consider various new solution points
 - Accept or reject some of these solution candidates
 - Converge to the optimal solution

Unconstrained optimization

 $\min_{X} f(X)$

Simulated annealing was introduced by Metropolis in 1953

It is based on "similarities" and "analogies" with the way that alloys manage to find a nearly global minimum energy level when they are cooled slowly

Local optimization vs. simulated annealing

Local optimization

- Start from an initial point
- Repeatedly consider various new solution points
- Reduce cost function at each iteration
- Converge to optimal solution

Simulated annealing

- Start from an initial point
- Repeatedly consider various new solution points
- Accept/reject new solution using probability at each iteration
- Converge to optimal solution

Local optimization



Local optimization attempts to reduce cost function at each iteration

Simulated annealing



Simulated annealing accept/reject new solution candidate based on probability

- Step 1: start from an initial point X = X₀ & K = 0
- Step 2: evaluate cost function $F = f(X_K)$
- Step 3: randomly move from X_K to a new solution X_{K+1}
- Step 4: if $f(X_{K+1}) < F$, then
 - Accept new solution

$$A X = X_{K+1} \& F = f(X_{K+1})$$

End if

- Step 5: if $f(X_{K+1}) \ge F$, then
 - Accept new solution with certain probability Help to get out

$$■$$
 X = X_{K+1} & F = f(X_{K+1}) iff rand(1) < ε

Help to get out of local minimum

Similar to local

optimization

End if

Step 6: K = K + 1 & go to Step 2

Accept/reject new solution with the probability ε

■ If $f(X_{K+1}) \ge F$, then

Accept new solution with certain probability

■ X = X_{K+1} & F =
$$f(X_{K+1})$$
 iff rand(1) < ε

End if

Option 1

Constant probability, i.e., $\varepsilon = 0.1$

Option 2 (better than Option 1)

Dynamically varying probability, i.e., decreasing over time

Accept/reject new solution with the probability ε

■ If $f(X_{K+1}) \ge F$, then

Accept new solution with certain probability

▼ X = X_{K+1} & F =
$$f(X_{K+1})$$
 iff rand(1) < ε

End if

Use Boltzmann distribution to determine the probability ε

$$\varepsilon = \exp\left[-\frac{f(X_{K+1}) - F}{T_{K+1}}\right]$$

T_{K+1} is a "temperature" parameter that gradually decreases
 E.g., T_{K+1} = α⋅T_K where α < 1

Accept/reject new solution with the probability ε

■ If $f(X_{K+1}) \ge F$, then

Accept new solution with certain probability

■ X = X_{K+1} & F = f(X_{K+1}) iff
rand(1) ≤ exp
$$\left[-\frac{f(X_{K+1}) - F}{T_{K+1}}\right]$$

End if

High temperature

▼ Attempt to accept all new solutions even if $f(X_{K+1}) - F$ is large

Low temperature

¬ Only accept the new solutions where $f(X_{K+1}) - F$ is small

- Simulated annealing is particularly developed for unconstrained optimization
- Constrained optimization can be converted to unconstrained optimization using barrier method

- Simulated annealing does not guarantee global optimum
 However, it tries to avoid a large number of local minima
 Therefore, it often yields a better solution than local optimization
 - Simulated annealing is not deterministic
 - Whether accept or reject a new solution is random
 - You can get different answers from multiple runs
- Simulated annealing is more expensive than local optimization
 It is the price you must pay to achieve a better optimal solution

- Simulated annealing has been used to solve many practical engineering problems
- A large number of implementation issues must be considered for practical circuit optimization problems
 - How to define optimization variable X (continuous vs. discrete)?
 - How to randomly move to a new solution?
 - Etc.

Example: Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP)

N cities are located on a 2-D map

One must visit each city once and then return to start city

Find the optimal route with minimum length

◄ If all cities are visited in the order of $R = \{C_1, C_2, ..., C_N\}$, we have

Example: Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP)

- Step 1: start from random route R, initial temperature T & K = 1
- Step 2: evaluate cost function F = f(R)
- Step 3: define new route R_K by randomly swapping two cities
- Step 4: if f(R_K) < F, then
 - Accept new route
 - $\blacksquare R = R_K \& F = f(R_K)$
- End if
- Step 5: if $f(R_K) \ge F$, then
 - Accept new solution with certain probability
 - $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathsf{K}} \& \mathbf{F} = f(\mathbf{R}_{\mathsf{K}}) \text{ iff rand}(1) < \exp\{[\mathbf{F}-f(\mathbf{R}_{\mathsf{K}})]/\mathbf{T}\}$
- End if
- Step 6: $T = \alpha T$ ($\alpha < 1$), K = K + 1, and go to Step 3

Example: Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP)

TSP route optimized by simulated annealing



Summary

- Stochastic optimization
 - Simulated annealing