## HW2 Problem 8: Yet More On Metaproducts

- What you know
- Metaproducts are a way to represent SOP forms as BDDs
- Some BDD-like logic manipulations are "supposed to work..."
- ...but results are very difficult to interpret
- HW2 problem 8: a 4-variable function is too big to see what's going on.

TWhat you don't know

- That metaproducts really represent sets of things (like we introduced in Lec05 on Formal Verification)
- How to really interpret ops like NOT( BDD in metaproduct form)


## More On Metaproducts

- Suppose I have a Boolean function of 2 vars: $F(x, y)$
- If I want to consider writing an SOP equation for $F(x, y)$, how many possible product terms could there be?
- Can enumerate: there are $3^{2}=9$ terms:
- Every product has 2 "slots" for literals in it
- The first slot can be one of $\{\varepsilon, x, x$ ' where " $\varepsilon$ " means "empty"
- The second slot can be one of $\{\varepsilon, y, y$ ' $\}$ where " $\varepsilon$ " also means "empty"
- Why 9 terms max? $\left|\left\{\varepsilon, x, x^{\prime}\right\}\right| x\left|\left\{\varepsilon, y, y^{\prime}\right\}\right|=3 \times 3=9$
$\checkmark$ Examples
- Term $x y^{\prime}==\left(l^{\text {st }}\right.$ slot is $\left.x\right)\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right.$ slot is $\left.y^{\prime}\right)$
- Term $x^{\prime}==\left(\left.\right|^{\text {st }}\right.$ slot is $\left.x^{\prime}\right)\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right.$ slot is $\varepsilon--$ empty $)$


## More on Metaproducts

W Well, what are all 9 of these possible product terms?

| $\\|^{\text {st }}$ slot | $2^{\text {nd }}$ slot | Product Term Represented |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon=$ empty |
| x | $\varepsilon$ | x |
| x' | $\varepsilon$ | x' |
| $\varepsilon$ | y | y |
| $\varepsilon$ | y' | $y^{\prime}$ |
| x | y | xy |
| x | $y^{\prime}$ | xy' |
| x' | y | x'y |
| x' | $y^{\prime}$ | $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ |

- OK, what does this have to do with metaproducts...?


## More on Metaproducts

V A metaproduct is really a BDD that represents a set

- The set it represents is some arbitrary set of product terms, chosen from the complete set of 9 (in this 2 -variable case) on previous slide
$\nabla$ Example: $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}$,


Metaproduct BDD

| ${ }^{\text {st }}$ path to "I" node represents term " $x$ "

$2^{\text {nd }}$ path to " $I$ " node represents term " $y$ ' "

## More on Metaproducts

- So, what really happens when you complement this BDD?


It's the BDD for the set of all the OTHER product terms NOT in the original BDD...

## More on Metaproducts

- So, what really happens when you complement this BDD?
- You get a new BDD that represents the 7 other products NOT in original set


2 product terms in original set


7 other product terms that were NOT in original set

## More on Metaproducts

## V Subtle stuff

- Interpreting what happens when you see missing variables

$x$ is here and negative, but no $y$ occurrence var. Interpret as: all values of $y$ are possible, including the empty " $\varepsilon$ " $y$ value.
Result is: $x^{\prime}, x^{\prime} y, x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$
$x$ is here and positive, $y$ is here, but no $y$ sign var. Interpret as: all "signed" values of $y$ are possible, but not the empty " $\varepsilon$ " $y$ value. Result is: $\mathrm{xy}, \mathrm{xy}{ }^{\prime}$


## More on Metaproducts

- So both original metaproduct BDD and its complement are just sets of stuff. They represent subsets of these 9 terms
- When you complement one of these, you don't get F'( ). You get a set that represents all the other terms you didn't represent originally


Represents these 2 of 9 possible terms


Represents these
7 of 9 possible terms

## Back to Homework

## v About HW2 Problem 8

- The part about "..complement it and explain it" was aimed at this, but with $F()=4$ variables, its just way too complicated to see. (Sorry...)
- Do this instead of the complicated 4-variable function:
$\triangleright$ Let $F(x, y)=x^{\prime} y+x y$ '
$\triangleright$ Draw the BDD for the metaproduct form for $F()$
$\triangleright$ Draw the complement BDD for this metaproduct BDD
$\triangleright$ Like in these notes, show that the complement really does represent all of the other product terms not in the original BDD.

