1 Hardware vs Software Interlocking [30 points]

Consider two pipelined machines A and B.

**Machine I** implements interlocking in hardware. On detection of a flow dependence, it stalls the instruction in the decode stage of the pipeline (blocking fetch/decode of subsequent instructions) until all of the instruction’s sources are available. Assume internal register file forwarding (an instruction writes into a register in the first half of a cycle and another instruction can access the same register in the next half of the cycle). No other data forwarding is implemented. However, there are two execute units with adders, and independent instructions can be executed in separate execution units and written back out-of-order. There is one write-back stage per execute unit, so an instruction can write-back as soon as it finishes execution.

**Machine II** does not implement interlocking in hardware. It assumes all instructions are independent and relies on the compiler to order instructions such that there is sufficient distance between dependent instructions. The compiler either moves other independent instructions between two dependent instructions, if it can find such instructions, or otherwise, inserts NOPs. Assume internal register file forwarding (an instruction writes into a register in the first half of a cycle and another instruction can access the same register in the next half of the cycle).

Both machines have the following four pipeline stages and two adders.

- Fetch (one clock cycle)
- Decode (one clock cycle)
- Execute (ADD takes 3 clock cycles. Each ADD unit is not pipelined, but an instruction can be executed if an unused execute (ADD) unit is available.)
- Write-back (one clock cycle). There is one write-back stage per execute (ADD) unit.

Consider the following 2 code segments.

**Code segment A**

```
ADD R5 <- R6, R7
ADD R3 <- R5, R4
ADD R6 <- R3, R8
ADD R9 <- R6, R3
```

**Code segment B**

```
ADD R3 <- R1, R2
ADD R8 <- R9, R10
ADD R4 <- R5, R6
ADD R7 <- R1, R4
ADD R12 <- R8, R2
```

(a) Calculate the number of cycles it takes to execute each of these two code segments on machines I and II.
(b) Calculate the machine code size of each of these two code segments on machines I and II, assuming a fixed-length ISA, where each instruction is encoded as 4 bytes.

(c) Which machine takes a smaller number of cycles to execute each code segment A and B?

(d) Does the machine that takes a smaller number of cycles for code segment A also take a smaller number of cycles than the other machine for code segment B? Why or why not?

(e) Would you say that the machine that provides a smaller number of cycles as compared to the other machine has higher performance (taking into account all components of the Iron Law of Performance)?

(f) Which machine incurs lower code size for each code segment A and B?

(g) Does the same machine incur lower code sizes for both code segments A and B? Why or why not?

2 Branch Prediction [55 points]

Assume the following piece of code that iterates through a large array populated with completely (i.e., truly) random positive integers. The code has four branches (labeled B1, B2, B3, and B4). When we say that a branch is taken, we mean that the code inside the curly brackets is executed.

```c
for (int i=0; i<N; i++) { /* B1 */
    val = array[i]; /* TAKEN PATH for B1 */
    if (val % 2 == 0) { /* B2 */
        sum += val; /* TAKEN PATH for B2 */
    }
    if (val % 5 == 0) { /* B3 */
        sum += val; /* TAKEN PATH for B3 */
    }
    if (val % 10 == 0) { /* B4 */
        sum += val; /* TAKEN PATH for B4 */
    }
}
```

(a) Of the four branches, list all those that exhibit local correlation, if any.

Only B1.

B2, B3, B4 are not locally correlated. Just like consecutive outcomes of a die, an element being a multiple of $N$ ($N$ is 2, 5, and 10, respectively for B2, B3, and B4) has no bearing on whether the next element is also a multiple of $N$.

(b) Which of the four branches are globally correlated, if any? Explain in less than 20 words.

B4 is correlated with B2 and B3. 10 is a common multiple of 2 and 5.

Now assume that the above piece of code is running on a processor that has a global branch predictor. The global branch predictor has the following characteristics.
Global history register (GHR): 2 bits.

Pattern history table (PHT): 4 entries.

Pattern history table entry (PHTE): 11-bit signed saturating counter (possible values: -1024–1023)

Before the code is run, all PHTEs are initially set to 0.

As the code is being run, a PHTE is incremented (by one) whenever a branch that corresponds to that PHTE is taken, whereas a PHTE is decremented (by one) whenever a branch that corresponds to that PHTE is not taken.

(d) After 120 iterations of the loop, calculate the **expected** value for only the first PHTE and fill it in the shaded box below. (Please write it as a base-10 value, rounded to the nearest one’s digit.)

**Hint.** For a given iteration of the loop, first consider, what is the probability that both B1 and B2 are taken? Given that they are, what is the probability that B3 will increment or decrement the PHTE? Then consider...

Show your work.

Without loss of generality, let’s take a look at the numbers from 1 through 6. Given that a number is a multiple of two (i.e., 2, 4, 6), the probability that the number is also a multiple of five (i.e., 15) is equal to 1/24, let’s call this value Q. Given that a number is a multiple of two and five (i.e., 20), the probability that the number is also a multiple of ten (i.e., 6) is equal to 1, let’s call this value R.

For a **single** iteration of the loop, the PHTE has four chances of being incremented/decremented, once at each branch.

- B3’s contribution to PHTE. The probability that both B1 and B2 are taken is denoted as \( P(B1_T \&\& B2_T) \), which is equal to \( P(B1_T) \cdot P(B2_T) = 1 \cdot 1/2 = 1/2 \). Given that they are, the probability that B3 is taken, is equal to \( Q = 1/5 \). Therefore, the PHTE will be incremented with probability \( 1/2 \cdot 1/5 = 1/10 \) and decremented with probability \( 1/2 \cdot (1-1/5) = 2/5 \). The net contribution of B3 to PHTE is \( 1/10 - 2/5 = -3/10 \).

- B4’s contribution to PHTE. \( P(B2_T \&\& B3_T) = 1/10 \). \( P(B4_T | B2_T \&\& B3_T) = R = 1 \). B4’s net contribution is \( 1/10 \cdot 1 = 1/10 \).

- B1’s contribution to PHTE. \( P(B3_T \&\& B4_T) = 1/10 \). \( P(B1_T | B3_T \&\& B4_T) = 1 \). B1’s net contribution is \( 1/10 \cdot 1 = 1/10 \).

- B2’s contribution to PHTE. \( P(B4_T \&\& B1_T) = 1/10 \cdot 1 = 1/10 \). \( P(B2_T | B4_T \&\& B1_T) = 1/2 \). B2’s net contribution is \( 1/10 \cdot 1/2 - 1/10 \cdot 1/2 = 0 \).

For a single iteration, the net contribution to the PHTE, summed across all the four branches, is equal to \( 1/6 \). Since there are 120 iterations, the expected PHTE value is equal to \( -12 \).
3 Branch Prediction vs Predication [30 points]

Consider two machines A and B with 17-stage pipelines with the following stages.
- Fetch (one stage)
- Decode (nine stages)
- Execute (six stages).
- Write-back (one stage).

Both machines do full data forwarding on flow dependences. Flow dependences are detected in the last stage of decode and instructions are stalled in the last stage of decode on detection of a flow dependence.

Machine A has a branch predictor that has a prediction accuracy of P%. The branch direction/target is resolved in the last stage of execute.

Machine B employs predicated execution, similar to what we saw in lecture.

(a) Consider the following code segment executing on Machine A:

```
add r3 <- r1, r2
sub r5 <- r6, r7
beq r3, r5, X
addi r10 <- r1, 5
add r12 <- r7, r2
add r1 <- r11, r9
X: addi r15 <- r2, 10
.....
```

When converted to predicated code on machine B, it looks like this:

```
add r3 <- r1, r2
sub r5 <- r6, r7
cmp r3, r5
addi.ne r10 <- r1, 5
add.ne r12 <- r7, r2
add.ne r14 <- r11, r9
addi r15 <- r2, 10
.....
```

(Assume that the condition codes are set by the “cmp” instruction and used by each predicated “.ne” instruction. Condition codes are evaluated in the last stage of execute and can be forwarded like any other data value.)

This segment is repeated several hundreds of times in the code. The branch is taken 75% of the time and not taken 25% of the time. On an average, for what range of P would you expect machine A to have a higher instruction throughput than machine B?

**Solution:**
This question illustrates the trade-off between misprediction penalty on a machine with branch prediction and the wasted cycles from executing useless instructions on a machine with predication.

This is one solution with the following assumptions:
- Machines A and B have separate (pipelined) branch/compare and add execution units. So, an add instruction can execute when a branch/compare instruction is stalled.
- Writebacks happen in-order.
When a predicated instruction is discovered to be useless (following the evaluation of the cmp instruction), it still goes through the remaining pipeline stages as nops.

There are several possible right answers for this question, based on the assumptions you make.

On machine A, when the beq r3, r5, X branch is not-taken and predicted correctly, the execution timeline is as follows:

```
add r3 <- r1, r2  F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
sub r5 <- r6, r7  F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
beq r3, r5, X     F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
addi r10 <- r1, 5 F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
add r12 <- r7, r2 F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
add r11 <- r11, r9 F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
X: addi r15 <- r2, 10 F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|

.....
```

When the branch is taken and predicted correctly, the execution timeline is as follows:

```
add r3 <- r1, r2  F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
sub r5 <- r6, r7  F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
beq r3, r5, X     F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
X: addi r15 <- r2, 10 F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|

.....
```

Machine A encounters a misprediction penalty of 20 cycles (9 decode stages + 6 execution stages + 5 stall cycles) on a branch misprediction (regardless of whether the branch is taken or not-taken).

Machine B’s execution timeline is exactly the same as machine A’s timeline with correct prediction, when the branch is not-taken. However, when the branch is taken (cmp evaluates to equal) machine B wastes three cycles as shown below.

```
add r3 <- r1, r2  F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
sub r5 <- r6, r7  F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
cmp r3, r5        F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
addi.ne r10 <- r1, 5 F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
add.ne r12 <- r7, r2 F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
add.ne r14 <- r11, r9 F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
addi r15 <- r2, 10 F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|

.....
```

Therefore, machine A has higher instruction throughput than machine B if the cost of misprediction is lower than the wasted cycles from executing useless instructions.

\[(1 - P) \times 20 < 3 \times 0.75\]

Therefore, for \( P > 0.8676 \), machine A has higher instruction throughput than machine B.

(b) Consider another code segment executing on Machine A:

```
add r3 <- r1, r2  
sub r5 <- r6, r7  
beq r3, r5, X     
addi r10 <- r1, 5 
add r12 <- r10, r2 
add r14 <- r12, r9 
X: addi r15 <- r14, 10

.....
```
When converted to predicated code on machine B, it looks like this:

```
add r3 <- r1, r2
sub r5 <- r6, r7
cmp r3, r5
addi.ne r10 <- r1, 5
add.ne r12 <- r10, r2
add.ne r14 <- r12, r9
addi r15 <- r14, 10
.....
```

(Assume that the condition codes are set by the “cmp” instruction and used by each predicated “.ne” instruction. Condition codes are evaluated in the last stage of execute and can be forwarded like any other data value.)

This segment is repeated several hundreds of times in the code. The branch is taken 50% of the time and not taken 50% of the time. On an average, for what range of P would you expect machine A to have a higher instruction throughput than machine B?

**Solution:**

On machine A, when the beq r3, r5, X branch is not-taken and predicted correctly, the execution timeline is as follows:

```
add r3 <- r1, r2 F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
sub r5 <- r6, r7 F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
beg r3, r5, X F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|-|-|-|-|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|WB|
addi r10 <- r1, 5 F|D1|D2|D3|D4|D5|D6|D7|D8|D9|E1|E2|E3|E4|E5|E6|-|-|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
Machine A has higher instruction throughput than machine B if the cost of misprediction is lower than the wasted cycles from executing useless instructions.

\[(1 - P) \times 20 < 7 \times 0.5\]

Therefore, for \( P > 0.825 \), machine A has higher instruction throughput than machine B.
4 Out-of-order Execution [50 points]

In this problem, we will give you the state of the Register Alias Table (RAT) and Reservation Stations (RS) for a Tomasulo-like out-of-order execution engine. Your job is to determine the original sequence of five instructions in program order.

The out-of-order machine in this problem behaves as follows:

- The frontend of the machine has a one-cycle fetch stage and a one-cycle decode stage. The machine can fetch one instruction per cycle, and can decode one instruction per cycle.

- The machine dispatches one instruction per cycle into the reservation stations, in program order. Dispatch occurs during the decode stage.

- An instruction always allocates the first reservation station that is available (in top-to-bottom order) at the required functional unit.

- When a value is captured (at a reservation station) or written back (to a register) in this machine, the old tag that was previously at that location is not cleared; only the valid bit is set.

- When an instruction in a reservation station finishes executing, the reservation station is cleared.

- Both the adder and multiplier are fully pipelined. Add instructions take 2 cycles. Multiply instructions take 4 cycles.

- When an instruction completes execution, it broadcasts its result, and dependent instructions can begin execution in the next cycle if they have all operands available.

- When multiple instructions are ready to execute at a functional unit, the oldest ready instruction is chosen.

Initially, the machine is empty. Five instructions then are fetched, decoded, and dispatched into reservation stations, before any instruction executes. Then, one instruction completes execution. Here is the state of the machine at this point, after the single instruction completes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reg</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(a) Give the five instructions that have been dispatched into the machine, in program order. The source registers for the first instruction can be specified in either order. Give instructions in the following format: “opcode destination ⇐ source1, source2.”

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{MUL} & \quad R3 \Leftarrow R1, R7 \\
\text{MUL} & \quad R4 \Leftarrow R1, R2 \\
\text{ADD} & \quad R2 \Leftarrow R3, R4 \\
\text{ADD} & \quad R6 \Leftarrow R0, R5 \\
\text{MUL} & \quad R6 \Leftarrow R2, R6 \\
\end{align*}
\]

(b) Now assume that the machine flushes all instructions out of the pipeline and restarts execution from the first instruction in the sequence above. Show the full pipeline timing diagram below for the sequence of five instructions that you determined above, from the fetch of the first instruction to the writeback of the last instruction. Assume that the machine stops fetching instructions after the fifth instruction.

As we saw in class, use “F” for fetch, “D” for decode, “E1,” “E2,” “E3,” and “E4” to signify the first, second, third and fourth cycles of execution for an instruction (as required by the type of instruction), and “W” to signify writeback. You may or may not need all columns shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUL R3 ⇐ R1, R7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>E3</td>
<td>E4</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUL R4 ⇐ R1, R2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>E3</td>
<td>E4</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADD R2 ⇐ R3, R4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>E3</td>
<td>E4</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADD R6 ⇐ R0, R5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>E3</td>
<td>E4</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUL R6 ⇐ R2, R6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>E3</td>
<td>E4</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, show the state of the RAT and reservation stations after 8 cycles in the blank figures below.

**RAT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reg</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Src 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Src 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADD**

**MUL**
5 Load Store Handling [36 points]

A modern out-of-order execution processor has a store buffer (also called a store queue) as discussed in class. Remember that this buffer is implemented as a searchable structure, i.e., content addressable memory. Such a processor also has a load buffer (also called a load queue), which contains all information about decoded but not yet retired load instructions, in program order. Also as discussed in class, most modern processors intelligently schedule load instructions in the presence of “unknown address” stores. The process of handling load/store dependencies and scheduling is called “memory disambiguation”. For this question, assume a processor that aggressively schedules load instructions even in the presence of older store instructions with unknown addresses. Within this context, answer the following questions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. What is the purpose of the store buffer?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To resolve dependency issues between loads/stores and store value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Exactly when is the store buffer searched (if at all)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When there is a load to check if there are dependent stores that will cause stalls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. What is the purpose of the load buffer?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolve pending load’s value once store value is resolved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. Exactly when is the load buffer searched (if at all)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When a store is resolved it will check the load queue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. You are given the following state of the store buffer and the load buffer at a given instant of time. Assume the ISA allows unaligned loads and stores, registers are 4 bytes, and byte and double-byte loads are sign-extended to fill the 4-byte registers. Assume no pipeline flushes during the execution of the code that lead to this state. Starting addresses and sizes are denoted in bytes; memory is little-endian. Data values are specified in hexadecimal format.
Store buffer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inst num.</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Starting Address</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0x00000005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0x00000006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0x00000007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0x00000004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0x00000005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0x00000006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0x00000006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0x00000008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0x00000007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0x00000006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0x00000009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Load buffer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inst num.</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Starting Address</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>R2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>R3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>R4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>R5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>R6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>R7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>R8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the value (in hexadecimal notation) loaded by each load into its respective destination register after the load is committed to the architectural state?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Register</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>0x00000405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>0x00000604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>0xXXXX0606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>0x06060405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5</td>
<td>0x00000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R6</td>
<td>0xXXXXXX06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R8</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6 Restartable vs. Precise Interrupts [6 points]

As we discussed in one of the lectures, an exception (or interrupt) is “restartable” if a (pipelined) machine is able to resume execution exactly from the state when the interrupt happened and after the exception or interrupt is handled. By now you also should know what it means for an interrupt to be precise versus imprecise.

Can a pipelined machine have restartable but imprecise exceptions or interrupts?

Yes.

What is the disadvantage of such a machine over one that has restartable and precise exceptions or interrupts? Explain briefly.

It would be hard to debug code running on such a machine. Restartable exceptions do not ease debugging.
7 GPUs and SIMD [35 points]

We define the SIMD utilization of a program run on a GPU as the fraction of SIMD lanes that are kept busy with active threads during the run of a program.

The following code segment is run on a GPU. Each thread executes a single iteration of the shown loop. Assume that the data values of the arrays A, B, and C are already in vector registers so there are no loads and stores in this program. (Hint: Notice that there are 4 instructions in each thread.) A warp in the GPU consists of 64 threads, and there are 64 SIMD lanes in the GPU.

```
for (i = 0; i < 1024768; i++) {
    if (B[i] < 4444) {
        A[i] = A[i] * C[i];
        B[i] = A[i] + B[i];
        C[i] = B[i] + 1;
    }
}
```

(a) How many warps does it take to execute this program?

\[
\text{Warps} = \frac{\text{Number of threads}}{\text{Number of threads per warp}}
\]
Number of threads = \(2^{20}\) (i.e., one thread per loop iteration).
Number of threads per warp = 64 = \(2^6\) (given).
Warps = \(\frac{2^{20}}{2^6} = 2^{14}\)

(b) When we measure the SIMD utilization for this program with one input set, we find that it is 67/256. What can you say about arrays A, B, and C? Be precise (Hint: Look at the “if” branch, what can you say about A, B and C?).

A: Nothing

B: 1 in every 64 of B’s elements less than 4444.

C: Nothing.

(c) Is it possible for this program to yield a SIMD utilization of 100% (circle one)?

YES NO

If YES, what should be true about arrays A, B, C for the SIMD utilization to be 100%? Be precise. If NO, explain why not.

B: Either:
(1) All of B’s elements are greater than or equal to 4444, or
(2) All of B’s elements are less than 4444.
(d) Is it possible for this program to yield a SIMD utilization of 25% (circle one)?

If YES, what should be true about arrays A, B, and C for the SIMD utilization to be 25%? Be precise.
If NO, explain why not.

The smallest SIMD utilization possible is the same as part (b), 67/256, but this is greater than 25%.

8 Vector Processing [40 points]

You are studying a program that runs on a vector computer with the following latencies for various instructions:

- VLD and VST: 50 cycles for each vector element; fully interleaved and pipelined.
- VADD: 4 cycles for each vector element (fully pipelined).
- VMUL: 16 cycles for each vector element (fully pipelined).
- VDIV: 32 cycles for each vector element (fully pipelined).
- VRSHF (right shift): 1 cycle for each vector element (fully pipelined).

Assume that:

- The machine has an in-order pipeline.
- The machine supports chaining between vector functional units.
- In order to support 1-cycle memory access after the first element in a vector, the machine interleaves vector elements across memory banks. All vectors are stored in memory with the first element mapped to bank 0, the second element mapped to bank 1, etc.
- Each memory bank has an 8KB row buffer.
- Vector elements are 64 bits in size.
- Each memory bank has two ports (so that two loads/stores can be active simultaneously), and there are two load/store functional units available.

(a) What is the minimum power-of-two number of banks required in order for memory accesses to never stall? (Assume a vector stride of 1.)

64 banks, because memory latency is 50 cycles and the next power of two is 64.

There is another solution if one interprets “never stall” to mean that a single load will never stall rather than the memory accesses in the program below: in that case, 32 banks suffices since each bank has two ports. For those who answered this way on the test, we gave full credit.

(b) The machine (with as many banks as you found in part (a)) executes the following program (assume that the vector stride is set to 1):

VLD V1 <- A
VLD V2 <- B
VADD V3 <- V1, V2
VMUL V4 <- V3, V1
VRSHF V5 <- V4, 2

It takes 111 cycles to execute this program. What is the vector length?

40 elements
VLD  |----50------|---(VLEN-1)----|
VLD  |1|----50------|
VADD  |4--|
VMUL  |16--|
VRSHF |1|---(VLEN-1)-----|

50+1+4+16+1 + (VLEN-1) = 71 + VLEN = 111 -> VLEN = 40

If the machine did not support chaining (but could still pipeline independent operations), how many cycles would be required to execute the same program? Show your work.

228 cycles

VLD  |-----50-----|---(VLEN-1)---|
VLD  |1|-----50-----|---(VLEN-1)---|
VADD  |4--|--(VLEN-1)---|
VMUL  |16--|--(VLEN-1)---|
VRSHF |1|--(VLEN-1)--|

50 + 1 + 4 + 16 + 1 + 4*(VLEN-1) = 68 + 4*VLEN = 228

(c) The architect of this machine decides that she needs to cut costs in the machine’s memory system. She reduces the number of banks by a factor of 2 from the number of banks you found in part (a) above. Because loads and stores might stall due to bank contention, an arbiter is added to each bank so that pending loads from the oldest instruction are serviced first. How many cycles does the program take to execute on the machine with this reduced-cost memory system (but with chaining)?

129 cycles

VLD [0]  |----50----| bank 0 (takes port 0)
...  
[31] |--31--|----50----| bank 31
[32] |----50----| bank 0 (takes port 0)
...  
[39] |--7--| bank 7
VLD [0]  |1|----50----| bank 0 (takes port 1)
...  
[31] |1|--31--|----50----| bank 31
[32] |----50----| bank 0 (takes port 1)
...  
[39] |--7--| bank 7
VADD  |--4--| (tracking last elements)
VMUL  |--16--|
VRSHF  |1|
(B[39]: 1 + 50 + 50 + 7) + 4 + 16 + 1 = 129 cyc

Now, the architect reduces cost further by reducing the number of memory banks (to a lower power of 2). The program executes in 279 cycles. How many banks are in the system?

8 banks
Another architect is now designing the second generation of this vector computer. He wants to build a multicore machine in which 4 vector processors share the same memory system. He scales up the number of banks by 4 in order to match the memory system bandwidth to the new demand. However, when he simulates this new machine design with a separate vector program running on every core, he finds that the average execution time is longer than if each individual program ran on the original single-core system with 1/4 the banks. Why could this be (in less than 20 words)? Provide concrete reason(s).

Inter-application memory interference which leads to loss of row-buffer locality and bank-level parallelism. This occurs because all applications interleave their vectors across all banks in the system.

What change could this architect make to the system in order to alleviate this problem (in less than 20 words), while only changing the shared memory hierarchy?

Partition applications across channels, or use application-aware memory scheduling.